

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | | 10/524,963 | TONGE ET AL |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Leslie A. Royds | 1614 |

All Participants:

(1) Leslie A. Royds (Exr).

(2) Jacob Weintraub (Attmy for Applicants).

Date of Interview: 12 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization was given for the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Leslie A. Royds/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)